

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/829,328	04/09/2001	Christian May	GR 98 P 2892 P	7122
24131 75	590 11/19/2004		EXAMINER	
LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA			SHRADER, LAWRENCE J	
P O BOX 2480 HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2124	
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Comments	09/829,328	MAY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Lawrence Shrader	2124				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6,7 and 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 5, and 8 - 10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

- 1. The updated declaration filed on 8/17/2004 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1-5, and 8-10 are presented for examination; claims 6, 7, and 11 are cancelled at the request of the Applicant.
- 3. The Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The Federal Circuit has recently applied the practical application test in determining whether the claimed subject matter is statutory under 35 U.S.C. § 101. The practical application test requires that a "useful, concrete, and tangible result" be accomplished. An "abstract idea" when practically applied is eligible for a patent. As a consequence, an invention, which is eligible for patenting under 35 U.S.C. § 101, is in the "useful arts" when it is a machine, manufacture, process or composition of matter, which produces a concrete, tangible, and useful result. The test for practical application is thus to determine whether the claimed invention produces a "useful, concrete and tangible result". The claim recites a method of identifying an

Art Unit: 2124

entry address, and storing an address. These steps do not necessarily require or strongly suggest indisputable evidence that some hardware or tangible embodiment is being used because these steps can be done by virtual analysis or algorithmic computations, e.g. give a series of elementary/atomic numerical data to a calculating formula written in pseudo-code or tabular representation done on paper to yield numeric results without the use of an computer-based execution engine. The claim, as recited, amounts to an abstract idea failing to suggest an application with a tangible embodiment leading to a concrete and tangible result. Thus, the claim fails to fulfill the requirements of the practical application test and is rejected for leading to a non-statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1 – 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takaki, U.S. Patent 4,683,549.

In regard to claim 1:

"identifying a permissible entry address by using a correlation of data, wherein the data are not provided within a same individual instruction;"

"storing, in a memory cell, an address of a correlated data item one of directly before and directly after the permissible entry address."

Art Unit: 2124

Takaki discloses identifying a permissible entry address of a program and storing the address in a table (Abstract and Figures 7 and 8).

In regard to claim 2, incorporating the rejection of claim 1:

"...which comprises storing, in the memory cell, a reference to a data entry in a protected list of legal entry addresses one of directly before and directly after the permissible entry address."

Takaki discloses a table of permissible entry addresses (Abstract and Figures 7 and 8).

In regard to claim 3, incorporating the rejection of claim 1:

"...which comprises directly jumping to the permissible entry address."

See Abstract.

In regard to claim 4, incorporating the rejection of claim 1:

"...which comprises automatically checking whether the correlation of data is satisfied for a respective entry address, when a function call is carried out."

The address automatically correlates through the address table to the appropriate code (Abstract).

In regard to claim 5:

"...identifying a permissible entry address by using a correlation of data, wherein the data are not provided within a same individual instruction;"

"providing the correlation of data as a correlation with program data in non-reserved memory areas."

Takaki discloses identifying a permissible entry address of a program and storing the address in a table (Abstract and Figures 7 and 8). The table containing the correlation data would inherently be located in the non-reserved memory area because the reserved area is typically for operating system components and the history table is part of an application.

"providing program instructions not exceeding a given maximum number n of bytes, n being an integer number;"

"providing a specific no-operation code for avoiding random correlations."

Computer code would inherently contain program instructions not exceeding n bytes where n in an integer. No-op codes, inherent in all instruction sets, would not exceed the same fixed length.

In regard to claim 8, incorporating the rejection of claim 6:

"...which comprises providing the correlation of data as a correlation between code data items, the code data items being at least n bytes away from one another."

The table of correlated data (Figures 7 and 8) taught in Takaki would inherently contain data at least n bytes away from reach other as entries in the table.

In regard to claim 9:

identifying a permissible entry address by using a correlation of data, wherein the data" are not provided within a same individual instruction;"

Takaki discloses identifying a permissible entry address of a program and storing the address in a table (Abstract and Figures 7 and 8).

"providing the correlation of data as a correlation with program data in non-reserved memory areas."

Page 6

Art Unit: 2124

(Abstarct).

Takaki discloses identifying a permissible entry address of a program and storing the address in a table (Abstract and Figures 7 and 8).

"providing a specific byte sequence which cannot occur within a regular code;"

"protecting the permissible entry address by inserting the specific byte sequence."

Takaki provides for an interrupt, which allows a permissible address to be obtained (Abstarct).

In regard to claim 10, incorporating the rejection of claim 9:

"...which comprises using a specific no-operation code as the specific byte sequence."

Takaki provides for an interrupt, which allows a permissible address to be obtained

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence Shrader whose telephone number is (571) 272-3734. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 08:00-16:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/829,328

Art Unit: 2124

2124

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kanali Cha.

Page 7

Lawrence Shrader Examiner Art Unit 2124

4 November 2004

KAKALI CHAIG

SUPERVISOR EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100